

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,632	06/26/2003	Masaya Takaoka	03260CIP/HG	4979
1933	7590 04/21/2005		EXAM	INER
,	HOLTZ, GOODMAN &	WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

žant.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,632	TAKAOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin E. Weddington	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	January 2005.	,	
ta)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 5 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,4,6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Drity documents have been But (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	4) T 1-4	Summary (DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/606,632

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Claims 8-20 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to the non-elected invention (37 CFR 1.142(b)).

Applicants' amendment filed January 14, 2005 has been received and entered. Accordingly, the rejections made under 35 USC 103 as set forth in the previous Office action at pages 2-6 are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 4, 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 5 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a pharmaceutical composition comprising a diuretic and an insulin sensitizer, wherein the diuretic is an ENaC inhibitor (amiloride) and an insulin sensitizer (5-[4-(6-methyoxy-1-methyl-1H-benzimidazol-2-ylmethoxy)benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione), does not reasonably provide enablement for pharmaceutical composition comprising all ENaC inhibitors, such as benzamil or triamterene, and all other insulin sensitizers as disclosed in claim 2. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In this regard, the application disclosure and claims have been compared per factors indicated in the decision In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988) as to undue experimentation.

The factors include:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided
- 3) The presence or absence of working examples
- 4) the state of the art
- 5) the nature of the invention
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art
- 7) the predictability of the art and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

The instant specification fails to provide guidance that would allow the skilled artisan background sufficient to practice the instant invention without resorting to undue experimentation in view of further discussion below.

The nature of the invention, state of the prior art, relative skill of those in the art and the predictability of the art

The claimed invention related to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a diuretic and an insulin sensitizer in a ratio of amount by weight of 1:200 to 200:1 and wherein said diuretic is selected from the group consisting of ENaC inhibitors.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,632

Art Unit: 1614

The relative skill of those in the art is generally that of a Ph.D. or M.D.

The present invention is unpredictable unless experimentation is shown for compositions comprising other diuretics (ENaC inhibitors) such as benzamil and triameterene in combination with other insulin sensitizers of claim 2.

The breadth of the claims

The claims are very broad and inclusive to all ENaC inhibitors (diuretics) and all insulin sensitizers.

The amount of direction or guidance provided and the presence or absence of working examples

The working example as stated in the applicants' response dated January 14, 2005 shows in Example 2, unexpected results in the combination of amiloride with compound A, (5-[4-(6-methyoxy-1-methyl-1H-benzimidazol-2-ylmethoxy)benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione).

No working example using other ENaC inhibitors such as benzamil and triameterene.

The quantity of experimentation necessary

Applicants have failed to provide guidance as to how the other ENaC inhibitors (benzamil and triameterene) in combination with compound A or other insulin sensitizers into pharmaceutical compositions that are effective to treat diabetes or edema. The level of experimentation needed to determine these combinations is undue. Therefore, undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as it is claimed in its current scope.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,632 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1, 2, 5 and 21 are not allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,632 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington April 18, 2005